

---

## CHARACTERIZATIONS OF $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -SEMIGROUPS THROUGH INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY $H_v$ -IDEALS

Krisanthi Naka

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science, University of Gjirokastra, Albania  
anthinaka@yahoo.com

**Abstract:** As a generalization of fuzzy sets, the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets was introduced by Atanassov [6], and applications of intuitionistic fuzzy concepts have already been done by Atanassov and many others in algebra, topological space, knowledge engineering, natural language, and neural network etc. The concept of hyperstructure first was introduced by Marty [33]. Vougiouklis [43], in the fourth AHA congress (1990), introduced the notion of  $H_v$ -structures. Recently, well known authors such as Davvaz, Dudek, Jun, Zhan, Cristea etc. have studied and discussed the intuitionistic fuzzification of different kinds of hyperstructures. The notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets was introduced by Atanassov as a generalization of the notion of fuzzy sets. In this paper, we deal with  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroups which is a generalization of  $\Gamma$ -semigroups and  $H_v$ -semigroups. Using Atanassov idea, we apply the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets to  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroups initiating this kind of study. We introduce the notion of an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup and different properties and characterizations of them are investigated and obtained extending some results obtained in  $H_v$ -rings. Also some natural equivalence relations on the set of all intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideals of an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup are investigated.

**Keywords:** Algebraic hyperstructure,  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup, intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Hyperstructures, as a natural extension of classical algebraic structures, was introduced in 1934, by F. Marty, a French mathematician, at the 8th Congress of Scandinavian Mathematicians [33]. In a classical algebraic structure, the composition of two elements is an element, while in an algebraic hyperstructure, the composition of two elements is a set. A lot of papers and several books have been written on hyperstructure theory, see [10-12], [42].

As it is well known, Vougiouklis [33] in the fourth AHA congress (1990), introduced the notion of  $H_v$ -structures satisfying the weak axioms where the non-empty intersection replaces the equality. The concept of  $H_v$ -structures constitutes a generalization of the well-known algebraic hyperstructures (hypergroup, hyperring, hypermodule and so on). The study of  $H_v$ -structure theory has been pursued in many directions by Vougiouklis, Davvaz, Spartalis and others. For definition, results and applications on  $H_v$ -structures, see [13-18, 22-24, 29, 30, 37-39, 44-46].

Uncertainty is an attribute of information and uncertain data are presented in various domains and the most appropriate theory for dealing with uncertainties is the theory of fuzzy sets developed by Zadeh in his classic paper [47]. Fuzzy sets handle such situations by attributing a degree to which a certain object belongs to a set. The theory of fuzzy sets provides a natural framework for generalizing some of the notions of classical algebraic structures. Fuzzy semigroups have been first considered by Kuroki [31]. After the introduction of the concept of fuzzy sets by Zadeh, several researches conducted the researches on the generalizations of the notions of fuzzy sets with huge applications in computer, logics and many branches of pure and applied mathematics. In 1971, Rosenfeld [36] defined the concept of fuzzy group. Since then many papers have been published in the field of fuzzy algebra. Recently fuzzy set theory has been well developed in the context of hyperalgebraic structure theory. A recent book [11] contains a wealth of applications. In [19], Davvaz introduced the concept of fuzzy hyperideals in a semihypergroup. But in fuzzy sets theory, there is no means to incorporate the hesitation or uncertainty in the membership degrees. As an important generalization of the notion of fuzzy sets on a non-empty set  $X$ , in 1983, Atanassov introduced in [5] the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets on a non-empty set  $X$  which give both a membership degree and a non-membership degree. The only constraint on these two degrees is that the sum must be smaller than or equal to 1. Atanassovs intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets can be useful in situations when description of a problem by a (fuzzy) linguistic variable, given in terms of a membership function only, seems too rough. In [20], using Atanassov idea, Davvaz established the intuitionistic fuzzification of the concept of hyperideals in a semihypergroup and investigated some of their properties. Recently in [28], it is studied the structure of semihypergroups through intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Recently, in [4, 26], it is initiated a study on intuitionistic fuzzy sets in  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups which was introduced and studied recently by Davvaz, Hila and et. al. [1-3], [25], [27], [34] as a generalization of a semigroup, a generalization of a semihypergroup and a generalization of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. A recently book [21] is devoted especially to the study of relationship between hyperstructures and fuzzy sets.

The concept of  $\Gamma$ -rings was introduced by Nobusawa in 1964 [35], as a generalization of the concept of rings. Later Barnes [9] weakened slightly the conditions in the definition of  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa. After these two papers were published, many mathematicians obtained interesting results on  $\Gamma$ -rings in the sense of Barnes and Nobusawa extending and generalizing many classical notions and results of the theory of rings. Inspired by Nobusawa and Barnes, in 1981 by M.K.Sen in [40] and later in 1986 by Sen and Saha in [41] introduced the notion of  $\Gamma$ -semigroup as a generalization of semigroups and ternary semigroups by taking sets instead of abelian groups. Since then, many classical notions and results of the theory of semigroups have been extended and generalized to  $\mathbb{H}$ -semigroups.

In the framework of hyperstructures, in [29, 30], the concept of  $H_v - \Gamma$ -semigroup has been introduced and investigated as a generalization of semigroups,  $\mathbb{H}$ -semigroups, semihypergroups,  $\mathbb{H}$ -semihypergroups and  $H_v$ -semigroups. Different examples of  $H_v$ - $\mathbb{H}$ -semigroups are presented there.

In this paper, we deal with  $H_v$ - $\mathbb{H}$ -semigroups. Using Atanassov idea, we apply the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets to  $H_v$ - $\mathbb{H}$ -semigroups initiating this kind of study. We introduce the notion of an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of an  $H_v$ - $\mathbb{H}$ -semigroup and different properties and characterizations of them are investigated and obtained extending some results obtained in  $H_v$ -rings. Also some natural equivalence relations on the set of all intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideals of an  $H_v$ - $\mathbb{H}$ -semigroup are investigated.

We introduce below necessary notions and present a few auxiliary results that will be used throughout the paper. Recall first the basic terms and definitions from the hyperstructure theory.

A map  $\circ: H \times H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^*(H)$  is called *hyperoperation* or *join operation* on the set  $H$ , where  $H$  is a non-empty set and  $\mathcal{P}^*(H) = \mathcal{P}(H) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  denotes the set of all non-empty subsets of  $H$ .

A *hyperstructure* is called the pair  $(H, \circ)$  where  $\circ$  is a hyperoperation on the set  $H$ .

A hyperstructure  $(H, \circ)$  is called a *semihypergroup* if  $\forall x, y, z \in H, (x \circ y) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z)$ , which means that

$$\bigcup_{u \in x \circ y} u \circ z = \bigcup_{v \in y \circ z} x \circ v.$$

If  $x \in H$  and  $A, B$  are non-empty subsets of  $H$  then  $A \circ B = \bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} a \circ b, A \circ x = A \circ \{x\}$ , and  $x \circ B = \{x\} \circ B$ .

A non-empty subset  $B$  of a semihypergroup  $H$  is called a *sub-semihypergroup* of  $H$  if  $B \circ B \subseteq B$  and  $H$  is called in this case *super-semihypergroup* of  $B$ .

Let  $(H, \circ)$  be a semihypergroup. Then  $H$  is called a *hypergroup* if it satisfies the reproduction axiom, for all  $a \in H, a \circ H = H \circ a = H$ . A non-empty subset  $I$  of a semihypergroup  $H$  is called a *right (left) hyperideal* of  $H$  if for all  $x \in H$  and  $r \in I, r \circ x \subseteq I (x \circ r \subseteq I)$ .

A hypergrupoid  $(H, \circ)$  is called an  $H_v$ -*group* if for all  $x, y, z \in H$  the followig two conditions hold:

1.  $(x \circ y) \circ z \cap x \circ (y \circ z) \neq \emptyset$ ,
2.  $x \circ H = H \circ x = H$ .

If  $(H, \circ)$  satisfies only the first axiom, then it is called an  $H_v$ -*semigroup*.

Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of  $X$  is a function  $\mu: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ . Let  $\mu, \lambda$  be two fuzzy subsets of  $X$ , we say that  $\mu$  is contained in  $\lambda$  if  $\mu(x) \leq \lambda(x), \forall x \in X$ .

Atanassov introduced in [5-8] the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets defined on a non-empty set  $X$  as objects having the form  $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \lambda_A(x)) | x \in X\}$ , where the functions  $\mu_A: X \rightarrow [0,1]$  and  $\lambda_A: X \rightarrow [0,1]$  denote the degree of membership (namely  $\mu_A(x)$ ) and the degree of non-membership (namely  $\lambda_A(x)$ ) of each element  $x \in X$  to the set  $A$  respectively, and  $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \lambda_A(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Obviously, each ordinary fuzzy set may be written as  $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), 1 - \mu_A(x)) | x \in X\}$ .

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two intuitionistic fuzzy sets on  $X$ . The following expressions are defined in [6, 7].

1.  $A \subseteq B$  if and only if  $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$  and  $\lambda_A(x) \geq \lambda_B(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .
2.  $A = B$  if and only if  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A$ .
3.  $A^c = \{(x, \lambda_A(x), \mu_A(x)) | x \in X\}$ .
4.  $A \cap B = \{(x, \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\}, \max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_B(x)\}) | x \in X\}$ .
5.  $A \cup B = \{(x, \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\}, \min\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_B(x)\}) | x \in X\}$ .
6.  $\square A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), 1 - \mu_A(x)) | x \in X\}$
7.  $\diamond A = \{(x, 1 - \lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(x)) | x \in X\}$ .

For the sake of simplicity, we use the symbol  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  for intuitionistic fuzzy set  $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \lambda_A(x)) | x \in X\}$ .

## 2. SOME NOTIONS IN $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -SEMIGROUPS

Recently, in [29,30]  $H_v$  –  $\Gamma$ -semigroups have been introduced and investigated as a generalization of  $\mathbb{H}$ -semigroups,  $\mathbb{H}$ -semihypergroups and  $H_v$ -semigroups. We give the definition of  $H_v$ - $\mathbb{H}$ -semigroup in a different way.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $H$  and  $\Gamma$  be two non-empty sets. Any map from  $H \times \Gamma \times H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^*(H)$  will be called a  $\Gamma$ -hypermultiplication in  $H$  and denoted by  $(\cdot)_{\Gamma}$ . The result of this hypermultiplication for  $a, b \in H$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is denoted by  $a\alpha b$ . A  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $H$  is an ordered pair  $(H, (\cdot)_{\Gamma})$  where  $H$  and  $\Gamma$  are non-empty sets and  $(\cdot)_{\Gamma}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -hypermultiplication on  $H$  which satisfies the following property  $\forall (a, b, c, \alpha, \beta) \in H^3 \times \Gamma^2, (a\alpha b)\beta c = \alpha\alpha(b\beta c)$ .

**Definition 2.2** Let  $H$  and  $\Gamma$  be two non-empty sets. An  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $H$  is an ordered pair  $(H, (\cdot)_{\Gamma})$  where  $H$  and  $\Gamma$  are non-empty sets and  $(\cdot)_{\Gamma}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -hypermultiplication on  $H$  which satisfies the following property

$$\forall (a, b, c, \alpha, \beta) \in H^3 \times \Gamma^2, ((a\alpha b)\beta c) \cap (\alpha\alpha(b\beta c)) \neq \emptyset.$$

Examples of  $H_v$ - $\mathbb{H}$ -semigroups can be found in [29, 30].

**Definition 2.3** Let  $H$  be an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup. A non-empty subset  $I$  of  $H$  is called a left (resp. right)  $H_v$ -ideal if the following condition holds: for all  $x \in H, y \in I$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma, x\alpha y \subseteq I$  (resp.,  $y\alpha x \subseteq I$ ).

$I$  is called to be an  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H$  if it is both a left and a right  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H$ .

## 3. INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY $H_v$ -IDEALS

**Definition 3.1** Let  $H$  be an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup. An intuitionistic fuzzy set  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  in  $H$  is called a left (resp. right) intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal in  $H$  if

1.  $\mu_A(y) \leq \inf\{\mu_A(z) | z \in x\alpha y\}$  (resp.,  $\mu_A(x) \leq \inf\{\mu_A(z) | z \in x\alpha y\}$ ),  $\forall x, y \in H, \alpha \in \Gamma$ ,
2.  $\sup\{\lambda_A(z) | z \in x\alpha y\} \leq \lambda_A(y)$  (resp.  $\sup\{\lambda_A(z) | z \in x\alpha y\} \leq \lambda_A(x)$ ),  $\forall x, y \in H, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Lemma 3.2** Let  $H$  be an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup. If  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  is a left (resp. right) intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H$ , then so is  $WA = (\mu_A, \mu_A^c)$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that  $\mu_A^c$  satisfies the condition (2) of Definition 3.1. For  $x, y \in H$ , we have Let  $x, y \in H$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Then since  $\mu_A$  is a left fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H$ , we have  $\mu_A(y) \leq \inf\{\mu_A(z) | z \in x\alpha y\}$ , and so  $1 - \mu_A^c(y) \leq \inf\{1 - \mu_A^c(z) | z \in x\alpha y\}$ , which implies that  $\sup\{\mu_A^c(z) | z \in x\alpha y\} \leq \mu_A^c(y)$ .

Therefore the condition (2) of Definition 3.1 is verified. The proof of the right  $H_v$ -ideals is similar.

**Lemma 3.3** Let  $H$  be an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup. If  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  is a left (resp. right) intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H$ , then so is  $\diamond A = (\lambda_A^c, \lambda_A)$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.2, so it is omitted.

Combining the above two lemmas we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4** Let  $H$  be an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup.  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  is a left (resp., right) intuitionistic fuzzy  $H$  –  $v$ -ideal of  $H$  if and only if  $WA$  and  $\diamond A$  are left (resp., right) intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideals of  $H$ .

**Corollary 3.5** Let  $H$  be an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup.  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  is a left (resp., right) intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H$  if and only if  $\mu_A$  and  $\lambda_A^c$  are left (resp., right) fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideals of  $H$ .

For any  $t \in [0,1]$  and an intuitionistic fuzzy set  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  of an  $H_v$ - $\mathbb{H}$ -semigroup  $H$ , the sets

$$U(\mu_A; t) = \{x \in H | \mu_A(x) \geq t\} \text{ and } L(\lambda_A; t) = \{x \in H | \lambda_A(x) \leq t\}.$$

are called respectively an upper and lower  $t$ -level cut of  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ .

**Theorem 3.6** Let  $H$  be an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup. If  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H$ , then for every  $t \in \text{Im}(\mu_A) \cap \text{Im}(\lambda_A)$ , the sets  $U(\mu_A; t)$  and  $L(\lambda_A; t)$  are  $H_v$ -ideals of  $H$ .

*Proof.* Let  $t \in \text{Im}(\mu_A) \cap \text{Im}(\lambda_A) \subseteq [0,1]$ . Let  $x \in H, y \in U(\mu_A; t)$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Since  $A$  is a left intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H$ , we have  $t \leq \mu_A(y) \leq \inf\{\mu_A(z) | z \in x\alpha y\}$ . Therefore, for every  $z \in x\alpha y$ , we get  $\mu_A(z) \geq t$ , which implies that  $z \in U(\mu_A; t)$ , so  $x\alpha y \subseteq U(\mu_A; t)$ . Now, let  $x \in H, y \in L(\lambda_A; t)$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Since  $A$  is a left intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H$ , we have  $\sup\{\lambda_A(z) | z \in x\alpha y\} \leq \lambda_A(y) \leq t$ . Therefore, for all  $z \in x\alpha y$ , we have  $\lambda_A(z) \leq t$ , which implies that  $z \in L(\lambda_A; t)$ , so  $x\alpha y \subseteq L(\lambda_A; t)$ .

**Theorem 3.7** Let  $H$  be an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup. If  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy set of  $H$  such that all non-empty levels  $U(\mu_A; t)$  and  $L(\lambda_A; t)$  are  $H_v$ -ideals of  $H$ , then  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H$ .

*Proof.* Let  $t_1 = \mu_A(y), t_2 = \lambda_A(y)$  for some  $x, y \in H$ . Then  $y \in U(\mu_A; t_1), y \in L(\lambda_A; t_2)$ . Since  $U(\mu_A; t_1)$  and  $L(\lambda_A; t_2)$  are  $H_v$ -ideals of  $H$ , then for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma, x\alpha y \subseteq U(\mu_A; t_1)$  and  $x\alpha y \subseteq L(\lambda_A; t_2)$ . Therefore for every  $z \in x\alpha y$ , we have  $z \in U(\mu_A; t_1)$  and  $z \in L(\lambda_A; t_2)$  which imply that  $\mu_A(z) \geq t_1$  and  $\lambda_A(z) \leq t_2$ . Hence, for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,

$$\inf\{\mu_A(z) | z \in x\alpha y\} \geq t_1 = \mu_A(y) \text{ and } \sup\{\lambda_A(z) | z \in x\alpha y\} \leq t_2 = \lambda_A(y).$$

This completes the proof of theorem.

**Corollary 3.8** Let  $H$  be an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $I$  be a left  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H$ . If fuzzy sets  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are defined on  $H$  by  $\mu(x) = \begin{cases} p_0 & \text{if } x \in I, \\ p_1 & \text{if } x \in H \setminus I \end{cases}$  and  $\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} q_0 & \text{if } x \in I, \\ q_1 & \text{if } x \in H \setminus I \end{cases}$  where  $0 \leq p_1 < p_0, 0 \leq q_0 < q_1$ , and  $p_i + q_i \leq 1$  for  $i = 0, 1$ , then  $A = (\mu, \lambda)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H$  and  $U(\mu; p_0) = I = U(\lambda; q_0)$ .

**Corollary 3.9** Let  $H$  be an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $I$  be a left  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H$ . If  $\chi_I$  is the characteristic function of a  $I$ , then  $A = (\chi_I, \chi_I^c)$  is a left intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H$ .

**Theorem 3.10** Let  $H$  be an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup. If  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  is a left intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H$ , then for all  $x \in H$ ,  $\mu_A(x) = \sup\{t \in [0, 1] | x \in U(\mu_A; t)\}$  and  $\lambda_A(x) = \inf\{t \in [0, 1] | x \in L(\lambda_A; t)\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $w = \sup\{t \in [0, 1] | x \in U(\mu_A; t)\}$  and let be an arbitrary  $s > 0$ . Then  $w - s < t$  for some  $t \in [0, 1]$  such that  $x \in U(\mu_A; t)$ . This means that  $w - s < \mu_A(x)$  so that  $w \leq \mu_A(x)$  since  $s$  is arbitrary.

Now, if  $\mu_A(x) = c$ , then  $x \in U(\mu_A; c)$ , and so  $c \in \{t \in [0, 1] | x \in U(\mu_A; t)\}$ .

Hence  $\mu_A(x) = c \leq \sup\{t \in [0, 1] | x \in U(\mu_A; t)\} = w$ . Therefore  $\mu_A(x) = w = \sup\{t \in [0, 1] | x \in U(\mu_A; t)\}$ .

Now, let  $q = \inf\{t \in [0, 1] | x \in L(\lambda_A; t)\}$ . Then  $\inf\{t \in [0, 1] | x \in L(\lambda_A; t)\} < q + s$ , for any  $s > 0$ , and so  $t < q + s$  for some  $t \in [0, 1]$  with  $x \in L(\lambda_A; t)$ . Since  $\lambda_A(x) \leq t$  and  $s$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $\lambda_A(x) \leq q$ .

Let now  $\lambda_A(x) = p$ . Then  $x \in L(\lambda_A; p)$ , and thus  $p \in \{t \in [0, 1] | x \in L(\lambda_A; t)\}$ . Hence  $\inf\{t \in [0, 1] | x \in L(\lambda_A; t)\} \leq p$ , that is,  $q \leq p = \lambda_A(x)$ . Consequently  $\lambda_A(x) = q = \inf\{t \in [0, 1] | x \in L(\lambda_A; t)\}$ , which completes the proof.

**Definition 3.11** Let  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  and  $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B)$  be intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroups of an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $H$ . Then,  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B)$  if  $A \subseteq B$  and

$$(i) \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(y)\} \leq \inf\{\mu_A(x) | z \in x\gamma y\} \text{ and } \max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_B(y)\} \geq \sup\{\lambda_A(x) | z \in x\gamma y\},$$

$$(ii) \min\{\mu_B(x), \mu_A(y)\} \leq \inf\{\mu_A(x) | z \in x\gamma y\} \text{ and } \max\{\lambda_B(x), \lambda_A(y)\} \geq \sup\{\lambda_A(x) | z \in x\gamma y\},$$

for all  $x, y \in H$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . If  $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B) = (\mathcal{X}_H, \mathcal{X}_H^c)$ , then  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H$ .

**Theorem 3.12** Let  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  and  $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B)$  be intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroups of an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $H$  such that  $A \subseteq B$ . Then,  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B)$  if and only if for any  $t, s \in (0, 1)$ , if  $A_{(t,s)} \neq \emptyset$ , if  $A_{(t,s)}$  is a hyperideal of  $B_{(t,s)}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B)$ . Then we prove that  $A_{(t,s)}\Gamma B_{(t,s)} \subseteq A_{(t,s)}$  and  $B_{(t,s)}\Gamma A_{(t,s)} \subseteq A_{(t,s)}$ . Let  $x \in A_{(t,s)}$  and  $y \in B_{(t,s)}$ , since  $z \in x\gamma y \subseteq A_{(t,s)}\Gamma B_{(t,s)}$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , so we have  $\mu_A(x) \geq t$  and  $\lambda_A(x) \leq s$ , and  $\mu_B(y) \geq t$  and  $\lambda_B(y) \leq s$  this implies  $\min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(y)\} \geq t$  and  $\max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_B(y)\} \leq s$ . Thus,  $\mu_A(z) \geq t$  and  $\lambda_A(z) \leq s$  for  $z \in x\gamma y$  this implies  $z \in A_{(t,s)}$ . Thus,  $A_{(t,s)}\Gamma B_{(t,s)} \subseteq A_{(t,s)}$ . Similarly, we can prove  $B_{(t,s)}\Gamma A_{(t,s)} \subseteq A_{(t,s)}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $A_{(t,s)}$  is a hyperideal of  $B_{(t,s)}$ . Let for all  $x, y \in H$ , we put  $t_0 = \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(y)\}$  and  $s_0 = \max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_B(y)\}$ . Then,  $\mu_A(x) \geq t_0$ ,  $\mu_B(y) \geq t_0$  and  $\lambda_A(x) \leq s_0$ ,  $\lambda_B(y) \leq s_0$  this implies that  $x \in A_{(t_0, s_0)}$  and  $y \in B_{(t_0, s_0)}$ . Since  $A_{(t,s)}\Gamma B_{(t,s)} \subseteq A_{(t,s)}$ , so we have  $x\gamma y \subseteq A_{(t_0, s_0)}$ . Thus, for all  $z \in x\gamma y$ , we get  $\mu_A(z) \geq t_0$  and  $\lambda_A(z) \leq s_0$ . This implies  $\mu_A(z) \geq t_0 = \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(y)\}$  and  $\lambda_A(z) \leq s_0 = \max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_B(y)\}$ . Therefore, this proves the first condition of Definition 3.11. Similarly, we can prove the second condition of Definition 3.11.

**Definition 3.13** Let  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  and  $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B)$  be intuitionistic fuzzy sets of an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $H$ . Then, the product of  $A$  and  $B$ , denote as  $A * B = (\mu_{A*B}, \lambda_{A*B})$ , is defined by:

$$\mu_{A*B}(r) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{r \in p\gamma q} \{\mu_A(p) \wedge \mu_B(q)\} & \text{if } r \in p\gamma q \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{A*B}(r) = \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{r \in p\gamma q} \{\lambda_A(p) \vee \lambda_B(q)\} & \text{if } r \in p\gamma q \\ 1 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all  $p, q \in H$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**Theorem 3.14** Let  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  and  $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B)$  be intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroups of an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $H$ . Then  $A$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $B$  if and only if  $A * B \subseteq A$  and  $B * A \subseteq A$ .

*Proof.* The proof of this theorem is easy, we omit.

**Definition 3.15** An  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup is said to have intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal extension property if for each intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroups  $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B)$  and each intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  of  $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B)$ , there exists an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal  $C = (\mu_C, \lambda_C)$  of  $H$  such that  $C \cap B = A$ .

Particularly, for each  $H_v$ -sub- $\mathbb{N}$ -semigroups  $T$  of  $H$  and for each intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal  $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B)$  of  $T$ , there exists an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal  $C = (\mu_C, \lambda_C)$  of  $H$  such that  $C \cap \mathcal{X} = B$ , where  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{X}_T, \mathcal{X}_T^c)$ , then  $H$  is called to have the strongly intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal extension property.

**Theorem 3.16** An  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $H$  has the intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal extension property if each  $H_v$ -sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroups  $T$  of  $H$  has the intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal extension property.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T$  is a  $H_v$ -sub- $\mathbb{N}$ -semigroups of  $H$  this implies  $T$  is an  $H_v$ - $\mathbb{N}$ -semigroup. Let  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -sub- $\mathbb{N}$ -semigroups of  $T$  and  $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ . Define  $A^* = (\mu_{A^*}, \lambda_{A^*})$  and  $B^* = (\mu_{B^*}, \lambda_{B^*})$  as following

$$\mu_{A^*}(x) = \begin{cases} \mu_A(x) & \text{if } x \in T \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin T \end{cases} \text{ and } \lambda_{A^*}(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda_A(x) & \text{if } x \in T \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin T \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mu_{B^*}(x) = \begin{cases} \mu_B(x) & \text{if } x \in T \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin T \end{cases} \text{ and } \lambda_{B^*}(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda_B(x) & \text{if } x \in T \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin T \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $A^* = (\mu_{A^*}, \lambda_{A^*})$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -sub- $\mathbb{N}$ -semigroups of  $H$  and  $B^* = (\mu_{B^*}, \lambda_{B^*})$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $A^* = (\mu_{A^*}, \lambda_{A^*})$ . Thus, by hypothesis, there exists an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal  $C = (\mu_C, \lambda_C)$  of  $H$  such that  $C \cap A^* = B^*$ . This implies  $C|_T \cap A = B$ , where  $C|_T$  is a restriction of  $C$  in  $T$ . Clearly, this is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H$  as  $H_v$ - $\mathbb{N}$ -semigroup. Therefore, we achieve that  $T$  has the intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal extension property.

The converse part is obvious.

**Theorem 3.17** *An  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $H$  has the intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal extension property if each homomorphic image of  $H$  has the intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal extension property.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\phi$  is a surjective homomorphism from  $H$  on to  $H^*$ . Let  $A^* = (\mu_{A^*}, \lambda_{A^*})$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -sub- $\mathbb{N}$ -semigroups of  $H^*$  and  $B^* = (\mu_{B^*}, \lambda_{B^*})$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $A^* = (\mu_{A^*}, \lambda_{A^*})$ . Let  $A = \Pi^{-1}(A^*)$  and  $B = \Pi^{-1}(B^*)$ . Then, clearly  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -sub- $\mathbb{N}$ -semigroups of  $H$  and  $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ . Since  $H$  has the intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal extension property, then there exists an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal  $C = (\mu_C, \lambda_C)$  of  $H$  such that  $C \cap B = A$ . Let  $C^* = \Pi(C)$ . Then,  $C^*$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H^*$ . We have

$$\Pi^{-1}(C^* \cap B^*) = \Pi^{-1}(C^*) \cap \Pi^{-1}(B^*) = C \cap B = A = \Pi^{-1}(A^*).$$

Hence, we have  $C^* \cap B^* = \Pi \Pi^{-1}(C^* \cap B^*) = \Pi \Pi^{-1}(A^*) = A^*$  this implies  $C^* \cap B^* = A^*$ .

#### 4. THE RELATIONS $\mathfrak{U}^a$ AND $\mathfrak{Q}^a$

Let  $a \in [0,1]$  be fix and let  $IF(H)$  be the family of all intuitionistic fuzzy left  $H_v$ -ideals of an  $H_v$ - $\mathbb{N}$ -semigroup  $H$ . For any  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  and  $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B)$  from  $IF(H)$ , we define two binary relations  $\mathfrak{U}^a$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}^a$  on  $IF(H)$  as follows:  $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{U}^a \Leftrightarrow U(\mu_A; a) = U(\mu_B; a)$ ,  $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{Q}^a \Leftrightarrow L(\lambda_A; a) = L(\lambda_B; a)$ . The two relations  $\mathfrak{U}^a$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}^a$  are equivalent relations. Hence  $IF(H)$  can be divided into equivalence classes of  $\mathfrak{U}^a$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}^a$ , denoted by  $[A]_{\mathfrak{U}^a}$  and  $[A]_{\mathfrak{Q}^a}$  for any  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A) \in IF(H)$ , respectively. The corresponding quotient sets will be denoted as  $IF(H)/\mathfrak{U}^a$  and  $IF(H)/\mathfrak{Q}^a$ , respectively. For the family  $LI(H)$  of all left  $H_v$ -ideals of  $H$ , we define two maps  $U_a$  and  $L_a$  from  $IF(H)$  to  $LI(H) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  putting  $U_a(A) = U(\mu_A; a)$ ,  $L_a(A) = L(\lambda_A; a)$ , for each  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A) \in IF(H)$ .

It can be easily seen that these maps are well-defined.

**Lemma 4.1** *For any  $a \in (0,1)$ , the maps  $U_a$  and  $L_a$  are surjective.*

*Proof.* Let  $0$  and  $1$  be fuzzy sets on  $H$  defined by  $0(x) = 0$  and  $1(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in H$ . Then  $0, 1 \in IF(H)$  and  $U_a(0) = L_a(0) = \emptyset$  for any  $a \in (0,1)$ . Moreover, for any  $K \in LI(H)$ , we have  $I = (\chi_K, \chi_K^c) \in IF(H)$ ,  $U_a(I) = U(\chi_K; a) = K$ , and  $L_a(I) = L(\chi_K^c; a) = K$ . Hence  $U_a$  and  $L_a$  are surjective.

**Theorem 4.2** *For any  $a \in (0,1)$ , the sets  $IF(H)/\mathfrak{U}^a$  and  $IF(H)/\mathfrak{Q}^a$  are equipotent to  $LI(H) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in (0,1)$ . Putting  $U_a^*([A]_{\mathfrak{U}^a}) = U_a(A)$  and  $L_a^*([A]_{\mathfrak{Q}^a}) = L_a(A)$  for any  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A) \in IF(H)$ , we obtain two maps:  $U_a^*: IF(H)/\mathfrak{U}^a \rightarrow LI(H) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ ,  $L_a^*: IF(H)/\mathfrak{Q}^a \rightarrow LI(H) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ .

If  $U(\mu_A; a) = U(\mu_B; a)$  and  $L(\lambda_A; a) = L(\lambda_B; a)$  for some  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  and  $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B)$  from  $IF(H)$ , then  $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{U}^a$  and  $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{Q}^a$ , whence  $[A]_{\mathfrak{U}^a} = [B]_{\mathfrak{U}^a}$  and  $[A]_{\mathfrak{Q}^a} = [B]_{\mathfrak{Q}^a}$ , which means that  $U_a^*$  and  $L_a^*$  are injective.

We will show that the maps  $U_a^*$  and  $L_a^*$  are surjective. Let  $K \in LI(H)$ . Then for  $I = (\chi_K, \chi_K^c) \in IF(H)$ , we have  $U_a^*([I]_{\mathfrak{U}^a}) = U(\chi_K; a) = K$  and  $L_a^*([I]_{\mathfrak{Q}^a}) = L(\chi_K^c; a) = K$ . Also  $0, 1 \in IF(H)$ . Moreover,  $U_a^*([0]_{\mathfrak{U}^a}) = U(0; a) = \emptyset$  and  $L_a^*([0]_{\mathfrak{Q}^a}) = L(1; a) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $U_a^*$  and  $L_a^*$  are surjective.

Now for any  $a \in [0,1]$ , we have the new relation  $\mathfrak{S}^a$  on  $IF(H)$  putting

$$(A, B) \in \mathfrak{S}^a \Leftrightarrow U(\mu_A; a) \cap L(\lambda_A; a) = U(\mu_B; a) \cap L(\lambda_B; a),$$

where  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  and  $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B)$ . It can be seen easily that  $\mathfrak{S}^a$  is an equivalence relation.

**Lemma 4.3** *The map  $I_a: IF(H) \rightarrow LI(H) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  defined by  $I_a(A) = U(\mu_A; a) \cap L(\lambda_A; a)$ , where  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  is surjective for any  $a \in (0,1)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in (0,1)$  be fixed. Then for  $0, 1 \in IF(H)$ , we have  $I_a(0) = U(0; a) \cap L(1; a) = \emptyset$ , and for any  $K \in LI(H)$ , there exists  $I = (\chi_K, \chi_K^c) \in IF(H)$  such that  $I_a(I) = U(\chi_K; a) \cap L(\chi_K^c; a) = K$ .

**Theorem 4.4** *For any  $a \in (0,1)$ , the quotient set  $IF(H)/\mathfrak{U}^a$  is equipotent to  $LI(H) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I_a^*: IF(H)/\mathfrak{U}^a \rightarrow LI(H) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ , where  $a \in (0,1)$ , be defined by the formula

$$I_a^*([A]_{\mathfrak{S}^a}) = I_a(A) \text{ for every } [A]_{\mathfrak{S}^a} \in IF(H)/\mathfrak{S}^a.$$

If  $I_a^*([A]_{\mathfrak{S}^a}) = I_a^*([B]_{\mathfrak{S}^a})$  for some  $[A]_{\mathfrak{S}^a}, [B]_{\mathfrak{S}^a} \in IF(H)/\mathfrak{S}^a$ , then  $U(\mu_A; a) \cap L(\lambda_A; a) = U(\mu_B; a) \cap L(\lambda_B; a)$ , which implies that  $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{S}^a$  and as consequence,  $[A]_{\mathfrak{S}^a} = [B]_{\mathfrak{S}^a}$ . Thus  $I_a^*$  is injective. It is also onto because  $I_a^*(0.) = I_a(0.) = \emptyset$  for  $0. = (0,1) \in IF(H)$ , and  $I_a^*(I.) = I_a(K) = K$  for  $K \in LI(H)$  and  $I. = (\chi_K, \chi_K^c) \in IF(H)$ .

The main tools in the theory of  $H_v$ -structures are the fundamental relations. Similar to [30] with the necessary adoptions, the relation  $\xi^*$  is the smallest equivalence relation on  $H$  such that the quotient  $H/\xi^*$  is a  $\Gamma/\xi^*$ -semigroup.  $\xi^*$  is called the fundamental equivalence relation on  $H$ . In similar way, according [30], if  $\mathcal{U}$  denotes the set of all expressions consisting of finite  $\mathbb{N}$ -hyperoperation on  $H$  (that is,  $\mathcal{U} = \{a_1\gamma_1 a_2\gamma_2 \dots a_n\gamma_n a_{n+1} | a_i \in S, \gamma_i \in \Gamma, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ), then a relation  $\xi$  can be defined on  $H$  as follows:  $x\xi y \Leftrightarrow \{x, y\} \subseteq u$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ .

In similar way, according [30] with the necessary adoptions, the transitive closure of  $\xi$  is the fundamental relation  $\xi^*$ , that is,  $a\xi^*b$  if and only if there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_{m+1} \in H; u_1, \dots, u_m \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $x_1 = a, x_{m+1} = b$  such that  $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \subseteq u_i, (i = 1, \dots, m)$ . Let us suppose that  $\xi^*(a)$  is the equivalence class containing  $a \in H$ . Then the product  $e$  on  $H/\xi^*$  is defined as follows:  $\xi^*(a)e\xi^*(b) = \xi^*(d), \forall d \in \xi^*(a)\gamma/\xi^*\xi^*(b)$ , for all  $\gamma/\xi^* \in \Gamma/\xi^* = \{\gamma/\xi^* | \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  where  $\xi^*(a)\gamma/\xi^*\xi^*(b) = \{\xi^*(c) | c \in a\gamma b\}$ . Then it is well-known that  $(H/\xi^*, e)$  is a  $\Gamma/\xi^*$ -semigroup.

**Definition 4.5** Let  $H$  be an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $\mu$  a fuzzy subset of  $H$ . The fuzzy subset  $\mu_{\xi^*}$  on  $H/\xi^*$  is defined as follows:  $\mu_{\xi^*}: H/\xi^* \rightarrow [0,1], \mu_{\xi^*}(\xi^*(x)) = \sup\{\mu(a) | a \in \xi^*(x)\}$ .

**Theorem 4.6** Let  $H$  be an  $H_v$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$  a left intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideal of  $H$ . Then  $A/\xi^* = (\mu_{\xi^*}, \lambda_{\xi^*})$  is a left intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of  $H/\xi^*$ .

## REFERENCES

- Abdullah, S., Hila K., & Khan, H., (2012) *On bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideals of  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups*, U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A, Vol. 74 no. 4, 79-90.
- Abdullah, S., Aslam M., & Anwar, T. (2011) *A note on  $M$ -hypersystems and  $N$ -hypersystems in  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups*, Quasigroups and Related Systems **19**, 101-104.
- Anvariye, S. M., Mirvakili, S., Davvaz, B., (2010) *On  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals in  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups*, Carpathian J. Math. **26**, No. 1, 11-23.
- Aslam, M., Abdullah S., & Hila, K. (2016) *Interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets in  $\Gamma$ -Semihypergroups*, Int. J. Mach. Learn. & Cyber., **7**(2), 217-228.
- Atanassov, K., (1983) *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Central Tech. Library, Bulgarian Academy Science, Sofia, Bulgaria, Rep. No. 1697/84,
- Atanassov, K. (1986) *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **20**, no.1, 87-96.
- Atanassov, K., (1994) *New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **61**, no. 2, 137-142.
- Atanassov, K., (1999) *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Theory and Applications*, Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing, Vol. 35, Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg,
- Barnes, W. E., (1966), *On the  $\Gamma$ -rings of Nobusawa*, Pacific J. Math. **18** 411-422.
- Corsini, P., (1993) *Prolegomena of hypergroup theory*, Second edition, Aviani editor
- Corsini P., & Leoreanu, V. (2003) *Applications of hyperstructure theory*, Advances in Mathematics, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Davvaz B., & Leoreanu-Fotea, (2007) *V. Hyperring theory and applications*, Internat. Academic Press, USA,
- Davvaz, B., (2007) *Extensions of fuzzy hyperideals in  $H_v$ -semigroups*, Soft Computing **11**(9), 829-837.
- Davvaz, B., (1998) *On  $H_v$ -rings and fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideals*, J. Fuzzy Math. **6**, no. 1, 33-42.
- Davvaz, B., (1999) *Fuzzy  $H_v$ -groups*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **101**, no. 1, 191-195.
- Davvaz, B., (2003) *A study on the structure of  $H_v$ -near ring modules*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **34**(5), 693-700.
- Davvaz, B., (2003) *A brief survey of the theory of  $H_v$ -structures*, Proc. 8th International Congress on Algebraic Hyperstructures and Applications, 1-9 Sep., 2002, Samothraki, Greece, Spanidis Press, 39-70.
- Davvaz, B., (2001) *Fuzzy  $H_v$ -submodules*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **117**, 477-484.
- Davvaz, B., (2000) *Fuzzy hyperideals in semihypergroups*, Italian J. Pure Appl. Math. **8**, 67-74.
- B. Davvaz, *Intuitionistic hyperideals of semihypergroups*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **29**(1) (2006), 203-207.
- Davvaz B., & Cristea, I., (2015) *Fuzzy Algebraic Hyperstructures. An introduction*, Springer International Publishing, ISBN 978-3-319-14761-1. DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-14762-8.
- Davvaz B., & Dudek, W. A., (2006) *Intuitionistic fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideals*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. Vol. 2006, Article ID 65921, 11 pages.
- Davvaz, B., Zhan, J., & Shum, K.P., (2008) *Generalized fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideals of  $H_v$ -rings*, Int. J. General Systems **37**(3), 329-346.
- Dramalidis, A., (1995) *Dual  $H_v$ -rings*, Rivista di Matem. Pura Appl. no. 17, 55-62.

- Heidari, D., Dehkordi S. O., & Davvaz, B., (2010)  *$\Gamma$ -semihypergroups and their properties*, U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A, **72**,197-210.
- Hila K., & Abdullah, S., (2014) *A study on intuitionistic fuzzy sets in  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups*, J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst. 26 1695-1710.
- Hila, K., Davvaz, B., & Dine, J. (2012) *Study on the structure of  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups*, Comm. Algebra 40 2932-2948.
- Hila, K., Kikina, L., & Davvaz, B. (2015) *Intuitionistic fuzzy hyperideal extensions of semihypergroups*, Thai J. Math. 13, no. 2, pp. 293-307.
- Hedayati, H., Davvaz, B., (2011) *Regular relations and hyperideals in  $H_\nu - \Gamma$ -semigroups*, Utilitas Math. 86, 169-182.
- Hedayati, H. & Cristea, I., (2013) *Fundamental  $\Gamma$ -semigroups through  $H_\nu - \Gamma$ -semigroups*, U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A, Vol. 75, Iss. 2, 33-46.
- Kuroki, N. (1979) *Fuzzy bi-ideals in Semigroups*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. **28** , 17-21.
- Marty, F., (1934) *Sur une generalization de la notion de group*, 8th Congres Math. Scandinaves, Stockholm, 45-49.
- Mirvakili, S., Anvariye S. M., & Davvaz, B.(2013)  *$\Gamma$ -Semihypergroups and Regular Relations*, Journal of Mathematics, Article ID 915250, 7 pages, 2013.
- Nobusawa, N., (1964) *On a generaliziton of the ring theory*, Osaka J. Math. **1** 81-89.
- Rosenfeld, A. (1971) *Fuzzy groups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **35**, no.3, 512–517.
- Spartalis, S., (1996) *On the number of  $H_\nu$ -rings with  $P$ -hyperoperations*, Discrete Mathematics **155**, no. 1-3, 225-231.
- Spartalis, S., (1996) *Quotients of  $P - H_\nu$ -rings*, New Frontiers in Hyperstructures (Molise, 1995), Ser. New Front. Adv. Math. Ist. Ric. Base, Hadronic Press, Florida,167-176.
- Spartalis, S., (2002) *On  $H_\nu$ -semigroups*, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math. **11**, 165-174.
- Sen, M.K., (1981) *On  $\Gamma$ -semigroups*. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Algebra and its Applications, Dekker Publication, New York, p. 301.
- Sen, M.K. & Saha, N.K., (1986) *On  $\Gamma$ -semigroup-I*. Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 78, 180-186.
- Vougiouklis, T. (1994) *Hyperstructures and their representations*, Hadronic Press Monographs in Mathematics, Hadronic Press, Florida,
- Vougiouklis, T. (1990) *The fundamental relation in hyperrings. The general hyperfield*, Algebraic Hyperstructures and Applications (Xianthi), World Scinetific, New Jersey, 203-211.
- Vougiouklis, T., (1999) *Convolutions on WASS hyperstructures*, Discrete Mathematics **208-209** , 615-620.
- Vougiouklis, T., (1996) *On  $H_\nu$ -rings and  $H_\nu$ -representations*, Discrete Math. **208/209**, 615-620.
- Vuogiouklis, T., (1996)  *$H_\nu$ -groups defined on the same sets*, Discrete Math. **155** , 259-265.
- Zadeh, L. A., (1965) *Fuzzy Sets*, Inform. and Control **8**, 338-353.