PROCUREMENT MANAGEMENT WITH THE DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM

Drago Cvijanović

University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism in Vrnjačka Banja, Republic of Serbia, drago.cvijanovic@kg.ac.rs

Ivan Milojević

University of Defence, Military Academy, Republic of Serbia, drimilojevic@gmail.com

Nemanja Pantić

University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism in Vrnjačka Banja, Republic of Serbia, <u>nemanja.pantic@kg.ac.rs</u>

Abstract: Every decision support system is an application developed to meet the needs of users, but the fact that must be taken into account when considering these systems is that decision support systems are primarily aimed at supporting, not replacing, decision makers. Such systems are used at different management and organizational levels. The main benefits of using a decision support system in management processes are related to increasing the efficiency of work in terms of giving better basis to managers as well as shortening the time needed to verify corrective actions. Each decision support system consists of at least three subsystems: a database that is part of a decision support system in which the input and output of an organization is stored; model bases that represent a component of a decision support system that consists of business decision models. In the research conducted for the purposes of this paper, when analyzing 120 procurement classified into three categories, in 14 there was a situation that a large number of bidders had the same sum of weights when evaluating their criteria. It is then necessary to make the right decision, but the question arises on what basis? The proposal of the next system is to enable management to make the right decision. The basic prerequisite is to understand the importance of the financial capacity of the tenderer in the implementation of procurement. Therefore, it is necessary to mention in the competition that in case of an equal number of weights, the financial capacity of the bidder will be decided. By applying the mode among 14 procurements, in which the tenderers have the same sum of weights, the procurement with the highest value was selected. Delphi methods were used to collect the opinions of a panel of experts (four experts from academic institutions, three from industry and two from scientific institutions) who reviewed the questionnaire. Subsequently, 12 experts (who had at least five years of work experience in public procurement) completed the questionnaires. The criteria for selecting the optimal bidder are: Liquidity Indicators (S1), Business Activity Indicators (S2), Debt Management Indicators (S3), Profitability Indicators (S4), Market Value Indicators (S5), and Economic Indicators (S6). By applying the DEMATEL (Decision-Making Trial and Evaluation Laboratory) and TOPSIS (Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution) methods, by determining the significance of the criteria in the procurement procurement in the research, we have proved the conclusion that by looking at the bidders' accounting indicators from the structure of the financial statements to arrive at the selection of the bidder in a situation where the amounts of weighted quantities are the same. Keywords: management, procurements, decision support systems.

The paper is a part of research within the project no. III 46006 - Sustainable agriculture and rural development in the function of accomplishing strategic objectives of the Republic of Serbia in the Danube region, financed by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia.

1. INTRODUCTION

Decision support systems emphasize the managerial orientation of implementation across organizations. Every decision support system is an application developed to satisfy the needs of users, but the fact that must be taken into consideration when considering these systems is that decision support systems are primarily aimed to support, not to replace decision makers. Such systems are used at different management and organizational levels.

The main benefits of using a decision support system in management processes are related to increasing the work efficiency in terms of giving better basis to managers as well as shortening the time needed to verify corrective actions.

The results of decision support are to increase the consistency and accuracy of the made decision, as well as to save time, but also to be expeditious in solving problems in such a way that managers can directly answer the questions and look at multiple alternatives at the same time.

Management, the concept of a decision support system, involves giving relevant information to managers when making business decisions. In our work, the subject of the research is defined through the application of decision

support systems in the field of procurement by public users. In this context, procurement is contingent on legal provisions, which makes it necessary to establish certain procedures that, as a result, often impose the need for decision-making as a result of choosing multiple alternatives.

Each model solves a particular problem in the observed business process. Their task is to generate, on the basis of input data and decision-making models, output information on which managers as decision makers can make decisions, and by using modern systems as a user interface enables easier communication between decision support systems and users.

2. RESEARCH METHODS

Each decision support system consists of at least three subsystems (Suknović & Delibašić, 2010): a database that is part of a decision support system in which the input and output of an organization is stored; model bases that are a component of a decision support system that consists of business decision models.

The implementation of an appropriate decision support system (Božanić, Pamučar, & Karović, 2016) in public procurement procedures when reviewing the bidders' accounting data comprises three basic parts. The first part of the database subsystem will contain the relevant data obtained from the analysis of the bidders' experts and financial statements in the procurement process conducted. In the second part, the subsystem of the model database will involve the use of a combination of two DEMATEL (Decision-Making Trial and Evaluation Laboratory) and TOPSIS (Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution) multi-criteria methods, resulting in a single combined decision model characteristic of the observed problem (Radović et al, 2011). According to Turban (2003), these systems support different decision-making processes and styles. In the third part, the user interface subsystem would include a program created in Microsoft Excel that would allow for automatic calculation and display of analysis results, which would greatly facilitate the use of this system by the user.

We present the problem in a general sense by choosing one of *m* alternatives (A_i , i = 1, 2, ..., m), which are evaluated and compared among themselves based on *n* criteria (X_i , j = 1, 2, ..., n) whose values are known to us. Alternatives

are presented by vectors $X_{i,j}$, wherein $X_{i,j}$ the value of the *i* - th alternative by the *j* criterion. Because the criteria affect the final grades of alternatives to a different extent, we assign a weighting factor to each criterion $w_{j,j} = 1, 2, ..., n$ (wherein $\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j = 1$) which reflects its relative importance in evaluating alternatives (Kashi & Franek, 2014).

3. SAMPLE AND RESULTS OF RESEARCH

Budget allocations for public procurement implemented by budget users, as well as the need to ensure security of procurement in order to ensure environmental protection, impose the need to increase the efficiency of public procurement implementation (Vasilev, Cvetković, & Grgur, 2019). In order to achieve this, it is necessary to support public procurement procedures by applying modern decision support systems (Stanojević, Dorđević, & Volf, 2017). The practice of conducting procurement of environmental assets (Simeunović, 2016) has shown that there are specific situations where a decision should be made in limited circumstances. Of particular importance is the training and education of employees (Slavković & Slavković, 2019).

In the research conducted for the purposes of this paper, when analyzing 120 procurement classified into three categories, in 14 there was a situation that a large number of bidders had the same sum of weights when evaluating their criteria. It is then necessary to make the right decision, but the question arises on what basis? The proposal of the following system is to enable management to make an appropriate decision (Čajka & Jovanović, 2017). The basic prerequisite is to understand the importance of the financial capacity of the tenderer in the implementation of procurement. Therefore, it is necessary to mention in the competition that in case of an equal number of weights, the financial capacity of the bidder will be decided. By applying the mode among 14 procurements, in which the tenderers have the same sum of weights, the procure-ment with the highest value was selected.

Using the Delphi method, the opinions of a group of experts (four experts from academic institutions, three from the industrial sector and two from scientific institutions) were collected and reviewed the questionnaire. Subsequently, 12 experts (who had at least five years of work experience in public procurement) completed the questionnaires.

The criteria for selecting the optimal bidder are: Liquidity Indicators (S1), Business Activity Indicators (S2), Debt Management Indicators (S3), Profitability Indicators (S4), Market Value Indicators (S5), and Economic Indicators (S6) (Ivanova, Barjaktarović, & Ivanov, 2018).

KNOWLEDGE – International Journal Vol.37.1

In the selected procurement procedure, five bidders had the same sum of weights. Ten experts participated in the model testing process. Expert decisions were used to derive the criterion weights. Weight coefficients were obtained using the DEMATEL method.

Testing was performed through two phases. In the first phase, the criteria were selected and the weights of the criteria were defined using the DEMATEL method. In the second phase, the TOPSIS method selected the optimal bidder.

In the first step of the DEMATEL method, Saaty's scale was used to compare the criteria. We used this scale to obtain matrices for the set criteria.

Since ten experts participated in the study, after obtaining expert opinion, a total of ten criteria matrices were obtained.

	S1	S2	S 3	S4	S 5	S6							
A1	2,11	3,03	0,42	0,22	0,20	1,05							
A2	1,83	2,87	0,33	0,28	0,16	1,20							
A3	2,60	4,11	0,51	0,15	0,08	0,92							
A4	1,68	2,43	0,23	0,30	0,22	1,53							
A5	2,23	2,75	0,47	0,17	0,11	1,13							
W _i	0,173	0,204	0,128	0,134	0,156	0,205							

Table 1 - Initial Decision Matrix

Source: authors calculation

After calculating the weighting coefficients of the criterion, the conditions for evaluation and selection of the optimal alternative were obtained using the TOPSIS method.

	S_i^+	S_i^-	Qi	Rank
A1	0,0581	0,0661	0,5321	2
A2	0,0642	0,0564	0,4679	3
A3	0,0858	0,0717	0,4555	4
A4	0,0717	0,0858	0,5445	1
A5	0,0784	0,0458	0,3686	5

Table 2 - Final ranking of alternatives

Source: authors calculation

By applying the combination of DEMATEL and TOPSIS method, the obtained solution is that the safest bidder is the ordinal number 4, which has the highest ranking among all the alternatives, that is, the tenderers who participated in the observed procurement procedure (Cvijanović, Matijašević-Obradović, & Škorić, 2017). However, it should be emphasized that in this way the result obtained is only a possible variant, since the application of multicriteria optimization does not mean a rigorous solution (Ignjatijević, Čavlin, & Vapa-Tankosić, 2017), but a possibility that can only be verified by the comparison of several different methods and scales of assessment. Multicriteria-based decision making is safer and more reliable (Bogdanović & Hadžić, 2019).

4. INTERPRETATION OF RESEARCH RESULTS

The third part of the decision support system is the user interface, which provides communication between users and the system in the simplest way. For the purpose of this work, a program was created in Visula Basic for Applications, which provides an easy way to get solutions and rank alternatives after entering the database.

KNOWLEDGE – International Journal

Vol.37.1

	Figure 1 - Calculating the rank of alternatives																				
I Microsoft Excel - Copy of X0000004																					
8	<u>Eile E</u> d	it <u>V</u> iew	Insert Format	<u>T</u> ools <u>D</u> ata	<u>W</u> indow	<u>H</u> elp										Тур	pe a que	stion for he	lp	8	×
10) 💕 🔒	a 🔒 l é	a 🛕 🖤 📖 I	X 🖬 🛍 -	II - (2 - I 😣 🗴	E - A↓ A	1 🛄 🛷 🗄	L00% -	🕜 💂 🗄 Tir	nes New Roman	- 12	- B <i>I</i> <u>U</u>		a 🧐	% , to	00. 00	建建 []	<u>0</u> - 🖄	- <u>A</u> -	-
Ξ.	3 🖉 🛺	🔊 🛈 🗎	🛛 🗶 📍 📮																		-
	B1	-	f _x																		_
	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	K		L		M		N	0	P	0		-
1									i									<u> </u>			
3						Pror	acun														
4																					
5																					=
6					Krtiter	jumi (te	žne krit	erijuma)	1												
7			Clear	K1	K2	K3	K4	K5	K6												
8				0,173	0,204	0,128	0,134	0,156	0,205		Vrednost krite	erijumske	funkcije <i>F(x)</i>	Konačan ra	ang						-
9			Ponudjac 1	2,11	3,03	0,42	0,22	0,20	1,05			0,5321		2							
10		ţ	Ponudjac 2	1,83	2,87	0,33	0,28	0,16	1,20			0,4679		3							
11		ern	Ponudjac 3	2,60	4,11	0,51	0,15	0,08	0,92			0,4555		4							
12		Alt	Ponudjac 4	1,68	2,43	0,23	0,30	0,22	1,53			0,5445		1							
13			Ponudjac 5	2,23	2,75	0,47	0,17	0,11	1,13			0,3686		5							
14																					
15																					
16																					
17																					
18	-																				
19																					
20																					
21																					
22																					
14	4 F H_(Chart1 / Cl	hart2) Izbor po	onudjaca/								•		"						F	
Rea	dy	~															_		NUM		
	9 (\bigcirc	8	6	W							L			• P	😭 🚮	۹ ₁₂	16:48 03.2016	

The stability of the obtained solution in case of change of criteria can be performed by the sensitivity analysis procedure. This analysis is also software-supported in Visual Basic for Applications. Figure 2 shows the impact of the criteria on each bidder or alternative, with a simultaneous graphical representation of these implications (Figure 3) in the domain of selecting the bidder for the procurement of environmental assets (Aleksić, Lukinović, & Jovanović, 2018).

36 M	icrosoft	Excel -	Copy of XI	000000	4				,							55					<u> </u>				,		0	x
	<u>F</u> ile	Edit <u>V</u>	jiew <u>I</u> nse	rt F <u>o</u> r	mat 🔅	<u>T</u> ools <u>D</u> at	a <u>W</u> ind	wob	<u>H</u> elp														1	ype a qu	estion fo	or help	• -	8×
En l	pi 🕞	l IA é		485	13. IX	- In 🙉 -	I 🖉) - (0	.Σ.	<u>}</u> ↓ <u>Z</u> ↓	1 🌆 🦓	75%	- 0	Times	New Roman	- 12	- B	ΙU			i 💷	%,	00. 0.			3 - A	-
	্র ম	1.501		- 424 G						9	21 11		_							,				100 010				
: •	21	9 <u>0</u> 20-1	ت ال	~																								
	N	•	J×	0	8	\$	1	r		V	w	Y	v	7	۵۵	AB	AC	4D	۵F	ΔF	46	ΔH	41	A1	۵	κ Δ	AM	
2						-			-				Analiza	osetlivosti														- ^
3														_														
4														ŀ														
6							Te	zinsk	i koefici	ient krite	eriiuma				Vrednos	t kriterijums	ke funkcije											
7						Clear	ĸ	KI K2 K3 K4					K6	Ponudiac	udias 1 Ponudias 2 Ponudias 3 Ponudias													
8						Varijant	tal 0.1	50	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.500	0.288	0,698	0.302	0.534										
9						Varijant	ta 2 0,	40	0,12	0,12	0,12	0,12	0,12	0,531	0,377	0,587	0,413	0,477										Ξ
10						Varijant	ta 3 0,	30	0,14	0,14	0,14	0,14	0,14	0,553	0,437	0,518	0,482	0,438										
11						Varijant	ta 4 0,	20	0,16	0,16	0,16	0,16	0,16	0,569	0,486	0,464	0,536	0,408										
12						Varijant	ta 5 0,	10	0,50	0,10	0,10	0,10	0,10	0,423	0,337	0,704	0,296	0,266										
13						Varijant	ta 6 0,	12	0,40	0,12	0,12	0,12	0,12	0,465	0,383	0,625	0,375	0,308										
14						Varijant	ta 7 0,	14	0,30	0,14	0,14	0,14	0,14	0,515	0,436	0,544	0,456	0,353										
15						Varijant	ta 8 0,	16	0,20	0,16	0,16	0,16	0,16	0,561	0,485	0,471	0,529	0,392										
16						Varijant	ta 9 0,	10	0,10	0,50	0,10	0,10	0,10	0,656	0,386	0,747	0,253	0,720										
17						Varijant	ta 10 0,	12	0,12	0,40	0,12	0,12	0,12	0,638	0,411	0,669	0,331	0,646										
18						Varijant	a 11 0,	14	0,14	0,30	0,14	0,14	0,14	0,612	0,440	0,578	0,422	0,555										
19						Varijant	a 12 0,	10	0,10	0,20	0,10	0,10	0,10	0,562	0,480	0,462	0,516	0,441										
20						Varijant	ta 14 0	12	0.12	0.12	0.40	0,10	0.12	0,510	0,690	0.292	0.708	0.268										
22						Varijant	ta 15 0.	14	0.14	0.14	0.30	0.14	0.14	0.536	0.612	0,364	0.636	0.327										
22						Varijant	ta 16 0.	16	0.16	0.16	0.20	0.16	0.16	0.565	0.525	0.432	0.568	0.385										
24						Varijant	ta 17 0,	10	0,10	0,10	0,10	0,50	0,10	0,799	0,561	0,183	0,817	0,248										
25						Varijant	ta 18 0,	12	0,12	0,12	0,12	0,40	0,12	0,755	0,551	0,248	0,753	0,278										
26						Varijant	ta 19 0,	14	0,14	0,14	0,14	0,30	0,14	0,691	0,533	0,327	0,673	0,322										
27						Varijant	ta 20 0,	16	0,16	0,16	0,16	0,20	0,16	0,605	0,507	0,420	0,580	0,381										
28						Varijant	ta 21 0,	10	0,10	0,10	0,10	0,10	0,50	0,345	0,471	0,260	0,740	0,363										
29						Varijant	ta 22 0,	12	0,12	0,12	0,12	0,12	0,40	0,411	0,479	0,326	0,674	0,375										
30						Varijant	ta 23 0,	14	0,14	0,14	0,14	0,14	0,30	0,485	0,488	0,389	0,611	0,388										
31	E EL	Chart1	/ Chart?	Izbo	n non	Varijant Idiaca	ta 24 0,	16	0,16	0,16	0,16	0,16	0,20	0,554	0,496	0,439	0,561	0,399										
Ready	, ni	Condita			n poin	iujuud /											ц,						-			NU	M	
(Coldy)		6	-				0			244		-			-				-				-			,40	16:56	
	1	Ø			2	0	8		9														EI	· •	* 👬	all (12.03.20	16

Figure 2 - Effect of changes in the criterion weight coefficients on the value of the criterion function

Figure 3 - Sensitivity analysis of the impact of the change in weights of all criteria on alternatives



From the obtained graph it can be seen that the biggest change in value is achieved by alternative 4, where due to the decrease in the weight of the criterion "liquidity", the increase in the weight of other criteria only further leads to the conclusion reached by the budget for the selection of the most favorable bidder. Research can conclude that, with other alternatives, the weight of other criteria and their value is increasing due to the increase, but still insufficient to achieve greater value than alternative 4, which is particularly important alternative 1. Analyzing the results of environmental investments (Beke-Trivunac & Jovanović, 2013) we can conclude that due to the stated trend accounting systems based decision support systems must be used. Of course, the results obtained in this way must be taken with a degree of caution (Prnjat,2012) following the basic rules of logical reasoning.

5. CONCLUSION

By applying the DEMATEL (Decision-Making Trial and Evaluation Laboratory) and TOPSIS (Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution) methods, by determining the significance of the criteria in the procurement procurement in the research, we have proved the conclusion that by looking at the bidders' accounting indicators from the structure of the financial statements to arrive at the selection of the bidder in a situation where the weighted amounts are the same.

Based on the data, using Visual Basic for Applications in sensitivity analysis, the same results that we have presented are presented. Suppliers that had better accounting ratios at the required level were proven to be reliable and secure, which also resulted in a high level of availability of environmental assets. We can conclude that in this research, the decision support system in procurement for the needs of the environment, in which the bidders have an equal number of weights, proved that the reliability of the bidder is correlated with its indicators of financial capacity.

LITERATURE

- Aleksić V., Lukinović M., & Jovanović L. (2018). Ekonomski instrumenti za zastitu životne sredine u Republici Srbiji, *ECOLOGICA*, 91(1), 676-683.
- Beke-Trivunac, J., & Jovanović, L. (2013). Politike ulaganja u zaštitu životne sredine na nivou jedinica lokalne samouprave, *Industrija*, 41(3), 109-122.
- Bogdanović, S., & Hadžić, M. (2019). Strategic multicriteria decision-making process in agriculture, *Ekonomika* poljoprivrede, 66(1), 89-106.
- Božanić, D., Pamučar, D., & Karović, S. (2016). Primena metode MAVAS u podršci odlučivanju upotrebe snaga u odbrambenoj operaciji, *Tehnika*, 71(1), 129-136

- Cvijanović D, Matijašević-Obradović J., & Škorić S. (2017). Uticaj kvaliteta vazduha uslovljenog emisijom zagađujućih materija na razvoj ruralnog turizma i potencijala ruralnih područja, *Ekonomika poljoprivrede*, 64(3). 871-885.
- Čajka Z., & Jovanović L. (2017). Uloga marketing menadžmenta u održivom razvoju, *ECOLOGICA*, 88(1), 1015-1020.
- Ignjatijević, S., Čavlin, M., & Vapa-Tankosić, J. (2017). Međunarodna pozicija Srbije kao destinacije za medicinski turizam. *Vojno delo*, 69(8), 369-378.
- Ivanova, B. P., Barjaktarović, L., & Ivanov, I. Đ. (2018). Koeficijent leveridža kao Bazelski standard u finansijskom upravljanju naftnih kompanija. *Vojno delo*, 70(7), 345-353.
- Kashi, K., & Franek, J. (2014). Utilizing DEMATEL Method in Competency Modeling, *Forum Scientiae Oeconomia*, 2(1), 95-106.
- Prnjat, A. (2012). Antisemitski diskurs i odbacivanje traganja za istinom, Kultura, 136, 429-440.
- Radović, T. (2011). Unapređenje performansi poslovnih procesa u okruženju sistema za podršku odlučivanju na primeru akreditovanih laboratorija, Zbornika radova, 38. Nacionalna konferencija o kvalitetu, FQ 2011, A-272-A-273.
- Simeunović, T. (2016). Menadžerski aspekti međuzavisnosti zaštite životne sredine i budžeta. Oditor časopis za Menadžment, finansije i pravo, 2(1), 25-28.
- Slavković, A., & Slavković, V. (2019). The importance of training in contemporary organizations, *Hotel and Tourism Management*, 7(2), 115-125.
- Stanojević, S., Đorđević, N., & Volf, D. (2017). Primena kvantitativnih metoda u predviđanju poslovanja privrednih društava. *Oditor časopis za Menadžment, finansije i pravo*, 3(1), 92-101.
- Suknović, M., & Delibašić, B. (2010). *Poslovna inteligencija i sistemi za podršku odlučivanju*, Fakultet organizacionih nauka u Beogradu, Beograd, 2010, 8-9.
- Turban, E. (2003). Informaciona tehnologija za menadžment: transformisanje poslovanja u digitalnu ekonomiju, Zavod za udžbenike i nastavna sredstva, Beograd, 2003, str. 428.
- Vasilev, D., Cvetković, D., & Grgur, A. (2019). Detection of fraudulent actions in the financial statements with particular emphasis on hotel companies, *Hotel and Tourism Management*, 7(1), 115-125.