

ANTIDRUG ANTIBODIES AND DISEASE ACTIVITY IN PATIENTS WITH RHEUMATIC DISEASES TREATED WITH TNF-A-BLOCKERS IN THE BULGARIAN POPULATION

Kiril Kraev
M. Geneva-Popova
kkraev@hotmail.com

Abstract: Rheumatic diseases have a vast social and economic impact due to the percentage of the disabilities and due to the price of the drugs, used for their treatment. The effects of the antidrug antibodies can be divided in two aspects: loss of effect from the treatment and development of side effects. Both of the times the result is discontinuation of the treatment or treatment with non-effective and at the same time very expensive drug. For the rheumatologist it will be extremely important to find and prove the factors that influence the production of these antidrug antibodies. In this study we pay attention to the production of these antibodies and their correlation to the loss of the effect and/or increase in the disease activity indexes.

Keywords: antidrug antibodies, TNF-alpha, etanercept, adalimumab, disease activity

INTRODUCTION

Treatment of the inflammatory diseases of the joints undergoes different stages- from symptomatic treatment in the past centuries, through Disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) in the last century, to reach the biologic treatment used today.

Most often the modern treatment of Rheumatic diseases includes TNF-alpha blockers.

TNF- α found in 1975 is a cell mediated glycoprotein participating in the systemic inflammation and the immune response. It is produced by activated macrophages, NK-cells, neutrophils, mastocytes, eosinophiles and neurons. TNF- α has a key role in different immune and inflammatory processes- cell activation, proliferation and differentiation of immune cells, cell death through necrosis and apoptosis. Variety of experimental studies through the years have found a wide spectrum of biologic effects. On cellular level it has the ability to induce proliferation and differentiation as well as apoptosis and necrosis which predefines its participation in a variety of rheumatic, hematologic and oncologic diseases.

In 1993 the effect of the inhibitors of TNF-alpha in the prevention of joint damage was proven. The following newly synthesized drugs has shown significant effect in the treatment of the inflammatory joint diseases but due to the fact that they are foreign for the human organism they induce production of antidrug antibodies.

As inflammatory joint diseases are chronic conditions rheumatologist should have some target to achieve. In RA, AS and PsA this target is low disease activity and if possible-remission.

Treating rheumatoid arthritis (RA) with a goal or “treat to target” strategy is a therapeutic proposal taken from cardiovascular and endocrine literature. It proposes that the therapeutic target in RA should be a state of remission, or an alternative goal could be a low disease activity.

Biologic drugs are widely used nowadays and they are believed to be capable to achieve low disease activity and or remission. Despite the expectations some of the patients do not respond to therapy or they respond at first and later start to lose response to treatment. Being immunogenic makes the BA capable of triggering an immune reaction against them which results in production of anti-drug antibodies. Through the years it was proven that these ADA may alter the response to treatment and even cause unwanted side effects.

Through the years in different trials rheumatologist tried to find out what factors pose effect on the production of these ADA. There are some studies that implement that ADA may alter disease activity and cause worsening of the symptoms. On the other hand EULAR recommendations from 2016 state that presence of ADA in the serum of the patient does not affect the disease activity and therefore treatment should not be stopped.

OWN RESULTS

The aim of our study was to check and prove the dependence between the production of antidrug antibodies and the disease activity in the Bulgarian population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We included a total of 163 patients, divided in the following groups:

A: 92 patients treated with adalimumab aged 24-72 years, mean age 49.84±10.8

B: 56 patient on treatment with etanercept aged 18 - 70 years, mean age 45.61±13.4

C: 15 healthy controls aged 27-50 years, mean age 38.73±8.9

All the patients were followed-up on 3,6,12,24 month since treatment initiation. ADA were measured on each visit as well as CRP, ESR. Complete patient history and physical examination was taken. In order to calculate disease activity we used approved disease activity indexes:

For Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) DAS28- variables used are: number of swollen and tender joints, PtGADA, ESR/ CRP results . According to the results activity may be divided in the following groups: low ≤3.2, moderate 3.2 up to 5.1, high disease activity above 5.1.

For Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) DAPSA- Here number of tender and swollen joints, patient Pain index, PtGADA and CRP are used. The disease activity is graded as follows : 5-14 low disease activity, 14-28 moderate and above 28 high disease activity

For Ankylosing spondylitis – BASDAI, consisting of different components graded 0-10, 0-no problem and 10 is highest imaginable severity of the symptom. This index is used to evaluate the 5 most common symptoms in AS- fatigue, back pain, joint pain/effusion, enthesitis, morning stiffness. The final score 0-50 is divided by 5 and a result from 0-10 is received. Score less than 4 is graded as low disease activity and score more than 4 is high disease activity.

Antibodies present in the serum of the patients was measured in Biochemistry Department in Medical University of Plovdiv. ELISA method and IDK® TNFα ELISA kits, produced by Immundiagnostik were used. All the data was statistically evaluated through SPSS v.25.

Results : On the third month of treatment in the adalimumab group no patients had ADA , on the 6th month 7 (7.6%) of them had, on the 12m-14 (15.21%) and on 24 month 26(28.26%)

For etanercept the results are as follows: 3m-0 patients, 6m-4 (7.1±3.46), 12 month 5 patients (8.9±3.83), 24 month 11 patients (19,64±5.35)

Table 1. Results from patients treated with adalimumab – Group A-patients without ADA, Group B patients with ADA (n/p% ±Sp).

Patients	3m	6m	12m	24m
Group A-without ADA	92 (100%)	85 (92,39±2,77)	78 (84.78±3.76)	66 (71.74±4.72)
Group B-with ADA	0 (0%)	7 (7,6±2,77)	14 (15.21±3.76)	26 (28.26±4.72)
U-criteria Significance level	0.00001	u=21,68 0.0001	u=13.10 0.0001	u=8.18 0.0001

P – significance between patients from group A and group B divided by months

28,26% of the patients have ADA on 24th month of the treatment which is significantly higher than the previous months and clearly shows the tendency for increase of this percentage in time .

Table 2. Results from patients on etanercept treatment- Group A-patients without ADA, Group B-patients with ADA.

Patients	3m	6m	12m	24m
Group A-without ADA	56(100 %)	52 (92,85±3.47)	50 (89.28±4.17)	45(80.35±5.35)
Group B-with ADA	0 (0%)	4(7,15±3.47)	6 (10.72±4.17)	11 (19.65±5.35)

U-criteria Significance level	0.0000 1	u=23.03 0.0001	u=20.86 0.0001	u=2.83 0.0001
--	-------------	-------------------	-------------------	------------------

25 of the patients in Group A are with low disease activity, 64- with moderate and only 3- with high disease activity. During the next months there is significant increase in the number of patients with low disease activity. There is no significant difference between the patients with low disease activity during month 12 and month 24. (U=0,67, p>0.05). The difference between the number of patients with moderate disease activity during month 12 and 24 in group A is not significant, as well. (U=1,25, p>0.05).

In group B there are no patients with low disease activity throughout the whole study. By analysing the data it is proven that patients from Group B have significantly higher disease activity on month 12 (U=3,51, p=0,001) and 24 (U=19,29, p=0,0001)

Table 3. Distribution of patients treated with adalimumab according to disease activity and presence of ADA (n/p% ±Sp).

Patient Group	Disease activity	3m n=92	6m n=92	12m n=92	24m n=92
Group A- without ADA	Low activity	25(27.17±4.66)	28(30.43±4.82)	51(55.43±5.21)	46(50.0±5.24)
	Moderate activity	64(69.56±4.79)	55(59.78±5.14)	27(29.34±4.77)	19(20.65±4.24)
	High activity	3(3.26±1.86)	2(2.17±1.52)	0	1(1.08±1.08)
Group B-with ADA	Low activity	0	0	0	0
	Moderate activity	0	3(3.26±1.86)	3(3.26±1.86)	6(6.52±2.58)
	High activity	0	4(4.34±2.13)	11(11.95±3.4)	20(21.73±4.32)

Table 4. Distribution of patients treated with etanercept according to disease activity and presence of ADA (n/p% ±Sp).

Patient Group	Disease activity	3m n=56	6m n=56	12M n=56	24M n=56
Group A- without ADA	Low activity	22(39.28±6.58)	24(42.85±6.67)	31(55.35±6.70)	32(57.14±6.67)
	Moderate activity	32(57.14±6.67)	26(46.42±6.72)	18(32.14±6.29)	13(23.21±5.69)
	High activity	2(3.57±2.50)	2(3.57±2.50)	0	0
Group B-with ADA	Low activity	0	0	0	0
	Moderate activity	0	1(3.26±1.86)	2(3.57±2.50)	4(11.95±3.4)
	High activity	0	3(4.34±2.13)	4(11.95±3.4)	7(12.5±4.45)

DISCUSSION

Our results show that the number of patients, treated with adalimumab, and producing antidrug antibodies are 0 on month 3, $7.6\pm 2.77\%$ on month 6, $15.21\pm 3.76\%$ on 12 and $28.26\pm 4.72\%$ on month 24. This coincides with Mok C et al finding who find ADA in 31% of the patients treated with adalimumab. Wolbink G.J. studied 51 patients with PsA, treated with infliximab. In 22 of them they found ADA. Most of them had decreased treatment response. Ducourau E. et al studied 108 patients treated with biologics-17 with RA and 91 with spondyloarthropathies- AS, PsA. In 21 of them (19%) ADA were positive, the mean period for their production was around 3.7 months. Their presence corresponded to decreased effect to the treatment and low concentration of the biologic agent in the serum.

Disease activity significantly depends on the presence of the antidrug antibodies which coincides with the literature (Rudalweit et al, Anderson et al.) and which we believe is credible. Bartelds et al believes that there is connection between the production of ADA and drug concentration in serum. He believes that decreased drug concentration affects therapeutic response and the disease activity. According to EULAR recommendations from 2016 the presence of ADA not always predicts change in the disease activity and effect of the therapy. Therefore therapy should not be discontinued if ADA are present in patient's serum.

Rheumatic diseases have enormous social and economic impact both due to the big percentage of disabilities caused by them and due to their expensive price. The effects of the antidrug antibodies can be divided in two aspects: loss of effect from the treatment and development of side effects. Both of the times the results is discontinuation of the treatment or treatment with non-effective and at the same time very expensive drug.

CONCLUSIONS

Algorithms for treatment initiation should be created and they should include taking precise patient history, bad habits, disease activity. Dynamic ADA detection should become part of the treatment follow up and this may help minimize cases with therapy resistance- primary or secondary and at the same time will lead to maximum effect from treatment.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Генева-Попова М., Краев К., Алиманска С. Лекарствено индуцирани неутрализиращи антитела при пациенти с ревматоиден артрит, псориаичен артрит и анкилозираш спондилит, лекувани с блокери на тнф- α , Medical Magazine, брой 38, февр 2017, стр – 86-89.
- [2] Генева-Попова М., Краев К, Каралилова Р., Класифициране на нежелани странични ефекти на биологична терапия, Medical Magazine, брой 38, февр 2017, стр – 76-80.
- [3] Божков Б., „Автоимунитет и автоимунни болести“, Арсо „С., 1997, 283 стр.
- [4] Keffer J., L.Probert, H. Cazlaris et al., “Transgenic mice expressing human tumour necrosis factor: a predictive genetic model of arthritis”, The EMBO Journal. 1991;10(13):4025-4031.
- [5] Cavallo M., P.Pozzilli, R.Thorpe, “Cytokines and autoimmunity”, Clinical and Experimental Immunology. 1994;96(1):1-7.
- [6] Rankin E. and E. Choy, “The therapeutic effects of an engineered human anti-tumour necrosis factor alpha antibody (CDP571) in rheumatoid arthritis”, Br J Rheumatol. 1995 Apr;34(4):334-42.
- [7] Butler D., D. Piccoli, P. Hart, J. Hamilton, “Stimulation of human synovial fibroblast DNA synthesis by recombinant human cytokines”, The Journal of Rheumatology, 1988, 15(10):1463-1470.
- [8] Balkwill F., F. Burke, “The cytokine network”, Immunology Today Volume 10, Issue 9, September 1989, Pages 299-304.
- [9] Hueber W., B.Tomooka, F.Batliwalla et al., “Blood autoantibody and cytokine profiles predict response to anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy in rheumatoid arthritis”, Arthritis Research & Therapy. 2009;11(3):R76.
- [10] Siebert J. and E. Walker, “Monitoring cytokine profiles during immunotherapy”, Immunotherapy, November 2010, Vol. 2, No. 6, Pages 799-816
- [11] Carrascosa, J., M. van Doorn, M. Lahfa et al., “Clinical relevance of immunogenicity of biologics in psoriasis: Implications for treatment strategies”, J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol, 28, 2014: 1424–1430.
- [12] Mastroianni A., E.Minutilli, A. Mussi et al., “Cytokine profiles during infliximab monotherapy in psoriatic arthritis”, British Journal of Dermatology, 2000 153: 531–536.

- [13] Maini R., F., Breedveld, A. Kalden et al., “Therapeutic efficacy of multiple intravenous infusions of anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha monoclonal antibody combined with low-dose weekly methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis”, *Arthritis Rheum*, 1998 Sep;41(9):1552-63.
- [14] Anderson, J., A., Wells, G. Verhoeven et al, Factors predicting response to treatment in rheumatoid arthritis: The importance of disease duration. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*, 2000 43: 22–29.
- [15] Rudwaleit M., J. Listing, J. Brandt et al., “Prediction of a major clinical response (BASDAI 50) to tumour necrosis factor α blockers in ankylosing spondylitis”, *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 2004;63:665-670.
- [16] Hyrich K., K. Watson, A. Silman et al., “The BSR Biologics Register; Predictors of response to anti-TNF- α therapy among patients with rheumatoid arthritis: results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register”, *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2006; 45 (12): 1558-1565.
- [17] Arends S., E. Brouwer, E. van der Veer et al., “Baseline predictors of response and discontinuation of tumor necrosis factor-alpha blocking therapy in ankylosing spondylitis: a prospective longitudinal observational cohort study”, *Arthritis Research and Therapy*. 2011;13(3):R94. Gremese E., S. Bernardi, S. Bonazza et al., “Body weight, gender and response to TNF- α blockers in axial spondyloarthritis”, *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2014; 53 (5): 875-881.
- [18] Lapidula G., G. Ferracioli, C. Ferri et al., “GISEA: an Italian biological agents registry in rheumatology”, *Reumatismo*, 2011 Nov 9;63(3):155-64
- [19] Cassano N., A. Galluccio, C. De Simone et al., “Influence of body mass index, comorbidities and prior systemic therapies on the response of psoriasis to adalimumab: an exploratory analysis from the APHRODITE data”, *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents*, 2008 Oct-Dec;22(4):233- 7
- [20] Abishek A., S. Butt, K. Gadsby, “Anti-TNF- alpha agents are less effective for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis in current smokers”, *J Clin Rheumatology*, 2010 Jan;16(1):15-8.
- [21] Gonzalez-Quintela A., B. Arturo et al., “Serum TNF- α levels in relation to alcohol consumption and common TNF gene polymorphisms”, *Alcohol*, September 2008, Volume 42, Issue 6, 513 – 518.
- [22] Muhammad Khan and Frank Joseph, “Adipose Tissue and Adipokines: The Association with and Application of Adipokines in Obesity,” *Scientifica*, vol. 2014, Article ID 328592, 7 pages, 2014. doi:10.1155/2014/328592
- [23] P. Fernández-Riejos, S. Najib, J. Santos-Alvarez et al., “Role of leptin in the activation of immune cells,” *Mediators of Inflammation*, vol. 2010, Article ID 568343, 8 pages, 2010.
- [24] J. Fransen, M. C. W. Creemers, P. L. C. M. Van Riel; Remission in rheumatoid arthritis: agreement of the disease activity score (DAS28) with the ARA preliminary remission criteria, *Rheumatology*, Volume 43, Issue 10, 1 October 2004, Pages 1252–1255,
- [25] Van Riel PLCM, Van Gestel AM. Clinical outcome measures in rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2000;59(Suppl. 1):28–31.
- [26] Schoels MM, Aletaha D, Alasti F, et al Disease activity in psoriatic arthritis (PsA): defining remission and treatment success using the DAPSA score *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 2016;75:811-818.
- [27] Rudwaleit M, Listing J, Brandt J, et al Prediction of a major clinical response (BASDAI 50) to tumour necrosis factor α blockers in ankylosing spondylitis *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 2004;63:665-670.
- [28] Bartelds GM, Krieckaert CLM, Nurmohamed MT, van Schouwenburg PA, Lems WF, Twisk JWR, Dijkmans BAC, Aarden L, Wolbink GJ. Development of Antidrug Antibodies Against Adalimumab and Association With Disease Activity and Treatment Failure During Long-term Follow-up. *JAMA*. 2011;305(14):1460–1468. doi:10.1001/jama.2011.406
- [29] Mok, C.C., van der Kleij, D. & Wolbink, G.J. *Clin Rheumatol* (2013) 32: 1429.
- [30] Ungar B, Chowers Y, Yavzori M, et al The temporal evolution of antidrug antibodies in patients with inflammatory bowel disease treated with infliximab *Gut* 2014;63:1258-1264.
- [31] Niels Vande Castele et al, Antibodies to Infliximab and its impact on pharmacokinetics may be transient, *The American Journal of Gastroenterology*, 2013
- [32] Buer, Jonas Kure. (2015). A history of the term “DMARD”. *Inflammopharmacology*. 23. 10.1007/s10787-015-0232-5.

- [33] Ducourau E. et al, Antibodies toward infliximab are associated with low infliximab concentration at treatment initiation and poor infliximab maintenance in rheumatic diseases *Arthritis Research & Therapy* 2011;13:R105
- [34] Gerrit Jan Wolbink et al Development of Antiinfliximab Antibodies and Relationship to Clinical Response in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis; *Arthritis & Rheumatism* Vol. 54, No. 3, March 2006, pp 711–715 DOI 10.1002/art.21671